



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/671,889

09/29/2003

Fred Gehrung Gustavson

YOR920030170US1

8009

48150

7590

12/18/2008

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD

SUITE 200

VIENNA, VA 22182-3817

EXAMINER

VICARY, KEITH E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2183

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/671,889	Applicant(s) GUSTAVSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Keith Vicary	Art Unit 2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Keith Vicary. (3) Dr. Gustavson.

(2) Frederick E. Cooperrider. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gustavson prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and applicants discussed the conceptual differences between the prior art Gustavson reference and the instant patent application by Gustavson. Examiner and applicants then discussed the specifics of the current rejection under USC 102 and 112.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Keith Vicary/ Examiner, Art Unit 2183	/Eddie P Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183
-------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------